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## Proxima 1

Source: U20 in vacuum undulator
Focussing: KB, CRL
Tunable: 5.5-15.5 keV
Flux: 2.0e12 ph/s @ 500mA @ 12.65 keV

Beam size: 20x40 $\mu \mathrm{m}$
Detector: Eiger X 16M
Goniometer: SmarGon
Sample Changer: CATS
MXCuBE: Qt4 v 2.3

## Proxima 2

Source: U24 in vacuum undulator
Focussing: KB + horizontal PFM
Tunable: 5.5-18.5 keV
Flux: 1.6e12 ph/s @ 500mA @
12.65keV

Beam size: $5 \times 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Detector: Eiger X 9M
Goniometer: MD2 with MK3
Sample Changer: CATS
MXCuBE: Qt3 v 2.1 (Qt4 v2.3)

## Detectors

- Eiger X 9M on Proxima 2
- In operation since 2015
- Eiger 16M and Pilatus 6M on Proxima 1
- Pilatus In user operation since mid 2011
- Passing to Eiger X 16M October 2018


## MXCuBE development

- Following master branch
- Discipline to port back the local developments (bunch awaiting pull request)


## Multiaxis goniometry

- Smargon goniometer on Proxima 1 (SmarAct)
- SmarAxis Tango Device Server (C++) developed at SOLEIL

- Minikappa MK3 on Proxima 2 (Arinax)
- JLIB software accessed through Tango Device server


## Sample changers

- CATS robots on both beamlines. Control via PyCats Tango Device Server
- Mature integration
- Automated resolution of occasional problems
- Failure rate below 1 per 1000



## New software for optical sample segmentation

- Segmenting out pin, stem and loop
- Based on analysis of series of images collected as function of omega axis
- Speed: 4 seconds acquisition +4 seconds analysis
- Loop bounding box in all orientation
- Chaining x-ray scan mesh with appropriate geometric parameters








## Getting more information from mesh scans

- Optical segmentation of the loop
- Mesh scan at three orientations
- Determine sample size and shape
- Determine center curve
- -> spread the dose



filtered z

best_of_z, min_spots=4, threshold=0.5

best_of_z


best of z scaled to optical image



## Minikappa calibration

- Using automated optical alignment and arbitrary sample (~3600 combinations of kappa and phi)
- Considering alignment axes separately


## Model - circle moving on another circle

offset $=$ center + amplitude*sin(k * phi - phase);
center, amplitude and phase are functions of kappa, $k$ is 1 for centring motors (CentringX and CentringY) and 0.5 for horizontal alignment motor (AlignmentY)

Calibration: observation vs. model as function of $\kappa$ and $\varphi$ combinations
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## Omega axis position variations

High number and accuracy of acquired data points allows for close inspection of omega axis position variations as a function of $\kappa$ and $\varphi$.


AlignmentZ mean position as function of $\kappa$


AlignmentZ mean position as function of $\kappa$


AlignmentZ position as function of $\varphi$


AlignmentZ position as function of $\varphi$


AlignmentZ mean position as function of $\varphi$


AlignmentZ position as function of $\kappa$ and $\varphi$ combinations


## Omega axis position variations

- Optical alignment sufficiently accurate to reveal fine structure in Omega axis positioning due to mechanical imperfections of kappa and phi axes.
- step function of $\sim 7$ um at kappa $103^{\circ}$
- gravitational sag of $\sim 5$ um at specific phi positions: $115^{\circ}, 145^{\circ}, 295^{\circ}\left(115^{\circ}+\right.$ $180^{\circ}$ ) and $325^{\circ}\left(145^{\circ}+180^{\circ}\right)$


## Model accuracy

| axis name | Mean absolute error <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | Median absolute error <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | Standard deviation <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AlignmentZ | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| AlignmentY | 14.1 | 11.5 | 19.3 |
| CentringX | 24.0 | 22.9 | 29.8 |
| CentringY | 20.5 | 18.7 | 26.3 |
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